arXiv:1304.6389v1 [hep-th] 23 Apr 2013

Casimir interaction and gauge invariance in finite width mirrors

C. D. Fosco and M. L. Remaggi
Instituto Balseiro
Universidad Nacional de Cuyo
Centro Atómico Bariloche
Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica
R8402AGP Bariloche, Argentina

Abstract

We study the general form of the Casimir interaction between two flat finite-width, parallel, infinite mirrors, described by their respective vacuum polarization tensors, under the assumption of translation invariance along the directions parallel to the mirrors' planes. Their properties along the normal coordinates are left, on the other hand, rather arbitrary, but constrained by the Ward identity for the vacuum polarization tensors. We construct solutions to those identities, evaluating the corresponding Casimir energy of the system by reducing the problem to a collection of one-dimensional systems.

1 Introduction

In recent years, it has become increasingly clear that the use of sensible models for the description of the electromagnetic (EM) properties of the media that constitute the mirrors is an important step for the improvement of Casimir energy calculations [1, 2]. The most relevant of those properties may be accounted for in different ways, for instance, in an effective theory for the EM field, obtained after the functional integration of the matter degrees of freedom inside a mirror [3].

In this letter, we shall consider two infinite, parallel, finite-width mirrors, the properties of which, in a Casimir energy calculation, are described by their vacuum-polarization tensors. Those tensors reflect the assumption that the model is translation invariant along the spatial directions (x_1, x_2), parallel to the (constant-x_3) planes of the mirrors, as well as time-independent. We summarize this symmetry by saying that the model is invariant under translations in $x_\parallel \equiv (x_0, x_1, x_2)$, where x_0 denotes the Euclidean time coordinate.

Regarding x_3, the *normal* direction, the very presence of the mirrors breaks translation symmetry of the system along that coordinate. Because of this (specially for finite-width mirrors) there are different possibilities regarding their structure along x_3. There are, however, non trivial constraints on that dependence, that follow from the Ward identity for the vacuum polarization tensors, as they entangle the $x_\parallel$ and x_3 dependencies. The solution to those constraints is not unique. It is worth emphasizing that finite width mirrors coupled to the EM field have been considered in the literature [2], but usually their properties along the normal direction are only functions of the frequency of the incident waves.

In this letter, we construct non trivial solutions to those constraints, obtained by using some extra simplifying assumptions, and evaluate $\mathcal{E}$, the vacuum energy per unit area. Regarding the way to introduce that observable, we shall write $\mathcal{E}$ as the in terms of an effective action Γ, which can in turn be expressed as a function of the vacuum transition amplitude $\mathcal{Z}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= \lim_{T\to\infty} (\frac{\Gamma}{T}) \\ \Gamma &= - \lim_{L_\parallel\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{L_\parallel^2} \log \frac{\mathcal{Z}}{\mathcal{Z}_0} \Big) \;, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $L_\parallel$ is a length that characterizes the (parallel) size of the plates, T denotes the extent of the time coordinate, and $\mathcal{Z}$ is the vacuum transition amplitude for (square) plates of length $L_\parallel$.

$\mathcal{Z}$ may then be expressed as an Euclidean functional integral:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int [\mathcal{D}A] \, e^{-\mathcal{S}(A)} \;, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{S}(A)$ denotes the Euclidean action for the gauge field A in $3+1$ dimensions:

$$\mathcal{S}(A) = \mathcal{S}_0(A) + \mathcal{S}_I(A) \;, \tag{3}$$

$\mathcal{S}_0$ is the action for the free EM field:

$$\mathcal{S}_0(A) = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \, F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \;\;, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \;, \tag{4}$$

while $\mathcal{S}_I$ describes the coupling between A and the two mirrors.

The parallel spatial coordinates are assumed to be confined to a (square) box of side length $L_{\|}$, with Dirichlet boundary conditions on the box faces [1]. The path integration measure $\left[\mathcal{D}A\right]$ is assumed to include a gauge fixing, which we shall specify when actually performing the calculation of the integral (to render it in the simplest possible form).

This letter is organized as follows: in section 2 we define the general structure of the models we consider, in terms of the coupling between each mirror and the gauge field, given by localized vacuum polarization tensors. We then use the Ward identity for those tensors, a step which reduces the number of independent components. In section 3, we introduce the gauge-fixing and reduce the problem to one dimensional systems. In 4 we obtain a compact expression for $\mathcal{E}$ in terms of the independent invariants characterizing each mirror. In particular, for the case of response functions which are local in x_3, we obtain an even more compact expression in terms of a Lifshitz formula. In 5 we present our conclusions.

2 The model

The EM field is coupled to two finite-width mirrors, denoted by L and R, located [2] at $x_3 = 0$ and $x_3 = a$, respectively. The model is thus specified by defining the interaction term, $\mathcal{S}_I$, appearing in (3). This, in turn, consists of two terms, each one describing the coupling between A and a single mirror:

$$\mathcal{S}_I(A) \;=\; \mathcal{S}_L(A) \,+\, \mathcal{S}_R(A) \;. \tag{5}$$

Since the forthcoming analysis is essentially the same for each mirror, to construct the $\mathcal{S}_L$ and $\mathcal{S}_R$ terms, we first study the form of the interaction term, which we denote by $\mathcal{S}_M$, corresponding to a single mirror centered at $x_3 = 0$. Then, we shall define $\mathcal{S}_L$ and $\mathcal{S}_R$ in terms of $\mathcal{S}_M$, just by using the respective tensor.

The $\mathcal{S}_M(A)$ term shall have the following structure:

$$\mathcal{S}_M(A) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\int_{x,y} A_\mu(x)\Pi_{\mu\nu}(x;y)A_\nu(y) \;, \tag{6}$$

where we have assumed linear response for the media, $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(x;y)$ is the vacuum polarization tensor for the mirror, and we have used a shorthand notation for the integral over space time coordinates $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

---

[1] The final result, for $L_{\|} \to \infty$, shall be insensitive to the choice of boundary conditions on that spatial box.

[2] The location is defined by the x_3 coordinate corresponding to their midpoints.

$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(x;y)$ may be obtained as the correlation function for two current operators due to the charge carriers of the media:

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(x;y) \;=\; \langle j_\mu(x) j_\nu(y)\rangle \;=\; \Pi_{\nu\mu}(y;x) \;, \tag{7}$$

and, since those currents are localized on the mirrors, $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(x;y)$ will be different from zero only when x_3 and y_3 are inside the media. Namely, when they belong to a certain interval containing 0, the center of the mirror.

The current operator is assumed to be conserved, so that $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(x,y)$ satisfies the Ward identity:

$$\partial^x_\mu \Pi_{\mu\nu}(x;y) \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{8}$$

where $\mu,\nu = 0,1,2,3$, and $\partial^x_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}$.

Symmetry under translations along the 'parallel' spacetime coordinates $x_\parallel \equiv (x_0, x_1, x_2)$, $y_\parallel \equiv (x_0, x_1, x_2)$, implies that

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(x;y) \;=\; \Pi_{\mu\nu}(x_\parallel, x_3; y_\parallel, y_3) \;=\; \Pi_{\mu\nu}(x_\parallel - y_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;. \tag{9}$$

To proceed, we take advantage of (9) to rephrase (8) in terms of the Fourier transform of $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ with respect to $x_\parallel - y_\parallel$,

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(x_\parallel - y_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;=\; \int \frac{d^3k_\parallel}{(2\pi)^3} \, e^{ik_\parallel\cdot(x_\parallel - y_\parallel)} \, \widetilde{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;. \tag{10}$$

Reality and Bose symmetry of the currents implies that $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the identities:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \widetilde{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) & = & \widetilde{\Pi}^*_{\mu\nu}(-k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \\ \widetilde{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) & = & \widetilde{\Pi}_{\nu\mu}(-k_\parallel; y_3, x_3) \quad . \end{array} \right. \tag{11}$$

Then we write (8) in Fourier space; to do so, it is convenient to use different indices for the translation invariant directions than for x_3. To that end, we adopt the convention that indices from the beginning of the Greek alphabet: $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$ run from 0 to 2. Then:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} ik_\alpha \widetilde{\Pi}_{\alpha\beta}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;+\; \partial_3 \widetilde{\Pi}_{3\beta}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) & = & 0 \\ ik_\alpha \widetilde{\Pi}_{\alpha 3}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;+\; \partial_3 \widetilde{\Pi}_{33}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) & = & 0 \quad . \end{array} \tag{12}$$

We can then use again the (assumed) symmetries, to write the different components of $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$ in simpler terms, in particular those appearing in the Ward identity. For example, regarding the α, β components, we see that $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\alpha\beta}$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_{\alpha\beta}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;=\; \widetilde{\Pi}^\perp_{\alpha\beta}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;+\; B(|k_\parallel|; x_3, y_3) \; \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k_\parallel) \tag{13}$$

where $\widetilde{\Pi}^{\perp}_{\alpha\beta}$ is $2+1$ dimensional transverse:

$$k_\alpha \widetilde{\Pi}^{\perp}_{\alpha\beta}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{14}$$

B is a $2+1$ dimensional scalar, and $\mathcal{Q}$ is the longitudinal proyector,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}(k_\parallel) \;=\; \frac{k_\alpha k_\beta}{k_\parallel^2} \;. \tag{15}$$

Besides, B is real and symmetric under the interchange of x_3 with y_3. Note that B, but not $\widetilde{\Pi}^{\perp}_{\alpha\beta}$, enter in the Ward identity.

We may also write

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_{\alpha 3}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;=\; i\, k_\alpha\, C(|k_\parallel|; x_3, y_3) \;, \tag{16}$$

in terms of a real and scalar function $C(|k_\parallel|; x_3, y_3)$. Using the properties in (11), we see that:

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_{3\alpha}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;=\; -i\, k_\alpha\, C(|k_\parallel|; y_3, x_3) \;. \tag{17}$$

Inserting the decompositions (13) and (17) into (12), we obtain the two independent relations:

$$\begin{aligned} B(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;&=\; -\frac{\partial C}{\partial x_3}(k_\parallel; y_3, x_3) \\ C(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;&=\; \frac{1}{k_\parallel^2}\frac{\partial \widetilde{\Pi}_{33}}{\partial x_3}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

These two relations then allow us to determine B and C in terms of the single real and symmetric function $\widetilde{\Pi}_{33}$. Then, any given model for the mirror will be characterized by a choice of $\widetilde{\Pi}^{\perp}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}_{33}$; the rest becomes determined, since:

$$\begin{aligned} B(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;&=\; -\frac{1}{k_\parallel^2}\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\Pi}_{33}}{\partial x_3 \partial y_3}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \\ C(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;&=\; \frac{1}{k_\parallel^2}\frac{\partial \widetilde{\Pi}_{33}}{\partial x_3}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Thus the expanded expression for $\mathcal{S}_M$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_M = & \frac{1}{2}\int_{k_\parallel;x_3,y_3} \tilde{A}^*_\mu(k_\parallel,x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}(k_\parallel;x_3,y_3)\tilde{A}_\nu(k_\parallel,y_3) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\int_{k_\parallel;x_3,y_3} \tilde{A}^*_\alpha(k_\parallel,x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}_{\alpha\beta}(k_\parallel;x_3,y_3)\tilde{A}_\beta(k_\parallel,y_3) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\int_{k_\parallel;x_3,y_3} \tilde{A}^*_3(k_\parallel,x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}_{33}(k_\parallel;x_3,y_3)\tilde{A}_3(k_\parallel,y_3) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\int_{k_\parallel;x_3,y_3} \tilde{A}^*_3(k_\parallel,x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}_{3\alpha}(k_\parallel;x_3,y_3)\tilde{A}_\alpha(k_\parallel,y_3) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\int_{k_\parallel;x_3,y_3} \tilde{A}^*_\alpha(k_\parallel,x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}_{\alpha 3}(k_\parallel;x_3,y_3)\tilde{A}_3(k_\parallel,y_3) \ , \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}
$$

and may be written, taking into account our previous discussion, as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_M \; = \; & \frac{1}{2}\int_{k_\parallel;x_3,y_3} \tilde{A}^*_\alpha(k_\parallel,x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}^{\perp}_{\alpha\beta}(k_\parallel;x_3,y_3)\tilde{A}_\beta(k_\parallel,y_3) \\
- \; & \frac{1}{2}\int_{k_\parallel;x_3,y_3} \tilde{A}^*_\alpha(k_\parallel,x_3)\,\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{\Pi}_{33}}{\partial x_3\partial y_3}(k_\parallel,x_3,y_3)\frac{k_\alpha k_\beta}{k_\parallel^4}\tilde{A}_\beta(k_\parallel,y_3) \\
+ \; & \frac{1}{2}\int_{k_\parallel;x_3,y_3} \tilde{A}^*_3(k_\parallel,x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}_{33}(k_\parallel;x_3,y_3)\tilde{A}_3(k_\parallel,y_3) \\
- \; & \frac{i}{2}\int_{k_\parallel;x_3,y_3} \tilde{A}^*_3(k_\parallel,x_3)\frac{k_\alpha}{k_\parallel^2}\frac{\partial\widetilde{\Pi}_{33}}{\partial y_3}(k_\parallel;y_3,x_3)\tilde{A}_\alpha(k_\parallel,y_3) \\
+ \; & \frac{i}{2}\int_{k_\parallel;x_3,y_3} \tilde{A}^*_\alpha(k_\parallel,x_3)\frac{k_\alpha}{k_\parallel^2}\frac{\partial\widetilde{\Pi}_{33}}{\partial x_3}(k_\parallel;x_3,y_3)\tilde{A}_3(k_\parallel,y_3) \quad . \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

The interaction terms $\mathcal{S}_L$ and $\mathcal{S}_R$ will then have the form:

$$
\mathcal{S}_L(A) \; = \; \frac{1}{2}\int\frac{d^3k_\parallel}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{x_3,y_3}\tilde{A}^*_\mu(k_\parallel,x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}^{(L)}_{\mu\nu}(k_\parallel;x_3,y_3)\tilde{A}_\nu(k_\parallel,y_3) \ , \qquad (22)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{S}_R(A) \; = \; \frac{1}{2}\int\frac{d^3k_\parallel}{(2\pi)^3}\int_{x_3,y_3}\tilde{A}^*_\mu(k_\parallel,x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}^{(R)}_{\mu\nu}(k_\parallel;x_3,y_3)\tilde{A}_\nu(k_\parallel,y_3) \ , \qquad (23)
$$

where $\widetilde{\Pi}^{(L)}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}^{(R)}_{\mu\nu}$ contain (independent) functions $B^{(L,R)}$ and $C^{(L,R)}$, determined by the respective $\widetilde{\Pi}^{(L,R)}_{33}$ components, which are concentrated around $x_3 = 0$ and $x_3 = a$, respectively.

We also need the full action $\mathcal{S}$ in the 'mixed' Fourier representation we have just introduced for the interaction term. In this form, the free part $\mathcal{S}_0$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{k}_\parallel, x_3} \Big\{ \tilde{A}^*_\alpha \big[(-\partial_3^2 + k_\parallel^2)\delta_{\alpha\beta} - k_\alpha k_\beta\big] \tilde{A}_\beta \,+\, \tilde{A}^*_3 \, k_\parallel^2 \, \tilde{A}_3 \\ &+ (ik_\alpha \partial_3 \tilde{A}_\alpha)^* \tilde{A}_3 + \tilde{A}^*_3 (ik_\alpha \partial_3 \tilde{A}_\alpha) \Big\} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

3 Reduction to one-dimensional systems

Each mirror has been characterized by its vacuum polarization tensor $\widetilde{\Pi}^{(L)}$, $\widetilde{\Pi}^{(R)}$. Before proceeding, we introduce a convenient gauge fixing. It is not difficult to realize that, for the system at hand, a highly convenient choice is:

$$\partial_\alpha A_\alpha(x) \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{25}$$

which leads to a gauge-fixed action which may be split as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}(A) \;=\; \mathcal{S}_\parallel(A_\parallel) \,+\, \mathcal{S}_3(A_3) \;, \tag{26}$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_\parallel(A_\parallel) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{k_\parallel; x_3, y_3} \Big\{ \tilde{A}^*_\alpha(k_\parallel, x_3)\big[(-\partial^2_{x_3} + k_\parallel^2)\delta(x_3 - y_3)\big]\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{A}_\beta(k_\parallel, y_3) \\ &+ \tilde{A}^*_\alpha(k_\parallel, x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}^{(L)\perp}_{\alpha\beta}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3)\tilde{A}_\beta(k_\parallel, y_3) \\ &+ \tilde{A}^*_\alpha(k_\parallel, x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}^{(R)\perp}_{\alpha\beta}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3)\tilde{A}_\beta(k_\parallel, y_3) \Big\} \;, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $\mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{k_\alpha k_\beta}{k_\parallel^2}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{k_\parallel; x_3, y_3} \Big[\tilde{A}^*_3(k_\parallel, x_3)\, k_\parallel^2 \,\delta(x_3 - y_3)\tilde{A}_3(k_\parallel, y_3) \\ &+ \tilde{A}^*_3(k_\parallel, x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}^{(L)}_{33}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3)\tilde{A}_3(k_\parallel, y_3) \\ &+ \tilde{A}^*_3(k_\parallel, x_3)\widetilde{\Pi}^{(R)}_{33}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3)\tilde{A}_3(k_\parallel, y_3) \Big] \;. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

When evaluating the functional integral over the (gauge-fixed) gauge field configurations, we see that, since the action is decomposed as the sum of an A_α plus an A_3 term, with no mixing,

$$\frac{\mathcal{Z}}{\mathcal{Z}_0} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_\parallel}{\mathcal{Z}_{\parallel 0}} \times \frac{\mathcal{Z}_3}{\mathcal{Z}_{3,0}} \tag{29}$$

with:

$$\mathcal{Z}_\parallel \;=\; \int \left[\mathcal{D}\tilde{A}_\parallel\right] e^{-\mathcal{S}_\parallel(\tilde{A}_\parallel)} \;,\;\; \mathcal{Z}_3 \;=\; \int \mathcal{D}\tilde{A}_3 \, e^{-\mathcal{S}_3(\tilde{A}_3)} \;. \tag{30}$$

To proceed, we note that each $\widetilde{\Pi}^\perp_{\alpha\beta}$ may be decomposed into two irreducible transverse tensors (projectors), in terms of two scalars. Indeed, the assumed isotropy and homogeneity of the media along the parallel directions, means that we can construct two independent transverse tensors using as building blocks the elements: $\breve{k}_\alpha \equiv k_\alpha - k_0 n_\alpha$, and $\breve{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \delta_{\alpha\beta} - n_\alpha n_\beta$, where $n = (1, 0, 0)$.

Two independent projectors $\mathcal{P}^t$ and $\mathcal{P}^l$ that are transverse to k_α may be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}^t_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \breve{\delta}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\breve{k}_\alpha \breve{k}_\beta}{\breve{k}^2} \tag{31}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}^l_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \mathcal{P}^\perp_{\alpha\beta} - \mathcal{P}^t_{\alpha\beta} \;. \tag{32}$$

They satisfy the following algebraic properties:

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{P}^\perp + \mathcal{Q} = I \;,\;\; \mathcal{P}^t + \mathcal{P}^l = \mathcal{P}^\perp \\ \mathcal{P}^t\mathcal{P}^l = \mathcal{P}^l\mathcal{P}^t = 0 \;,\;\; \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}^t = \mathcal{P}^t\mathcal{Q} = 0 \;,\;\; \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{P}^l = \mathcal{P}^l\mathcal{Q} = 0 \\ \left(\mathcal{P}^\perp\right)^2 = \mathcal{P}^\perp \;, (\mathcal{Q})^2 = \mathcal{Q} \;, \left(\mathcal{P}^t\right)^2 = \mathcal{P}^t \;, \left(\mathcal{P}^l\right)^2 = \mathcal{P}^l \;, \end{gathered} \tag{33}$$

where $I_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$. Therefore we can express $\widetilde{\Pi}^{\perp(I)}_{\alpha\beta}$ $(I = L, R)$, as follows:

$$\tilde{\Pi}^{\perp(I)}_{\alpha\beta}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;=\; f^{(I)}_t(k_0^2, \mathbf{k}_\parallel^2; x_3, y_3)\,\mathcal{P}^t_{\alpha\beta} + f^{(I)}_l(k_0^2; \mathbf{k}_\parallel^2, x_3, y_3)\mathcal{P}^l_{\alpha\beta} \;. \tag{34}$$

A more explicit form for $\mathcal{S}_\parallel$ is then obtained

$$\mathcal{S}_\parallel = \frac{1}{2} \int_{k_\parallel; x_3, y_3} \widetilde{A}^*_\alpha(k_\parallel, x_3) \Big[\widetilde{K}_t(k_\parallel)\mathcal{P}^t_{\alpha\beta} + \widetilde{K}_l(k_\parallel)\mathcal{P}^l_{\alpha\beta}\Big] \widetilde{A}_\beta(k_\parallel, x_3) \;, \tag{35}$$

where

$$\widetilde{K}_{t,l}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;=\; (-\partial_3^2 + k_\parallel^2)\delta(x_3 - y_3) + \widetilde{V}_{t,l}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;, \tag{36}$$

and:

$$\widetilde{V}_{t,l}(k_\parallel; x_3, y_3) \;=\; \sum_{I=L,R} f^{(I)}_{t,l}(k_0^2, \mathbf{k}_\parallel^2; x_3, y_3) \;, \tag{37}$$

what concludes the reduction. Indeed, note that the action has been reduced to a quadratic form for an operator which has been decomposed into orthogonal rank-one projectors.

4 Casimir energy

Because of (29), the total Casimir energy shall be a sum of a contribution coming from the integral over A_3, plus another from $A_\parallel$. Let us consider first the A_3 one. Defining:

$$\Gamma_3 \,=\, - \lim_{L\to\infty} \Big[\frac{1}{L^2} \, \log \frac{\mathcal{Z}_3}{\mathcal{Z}_{3,0}} \Big] \;, \tag{38}$$

we see that it does not contain any contribution to the *interaction* energy between the mirrors. Indeed, even for the case of generally nonlocal $\widetilde{\Pi}_{33}^{(L,R)}$ functions, if their arguments have non-overlapping support (for the different mirrors), Γ_3 is independent of the distance between the mirrors. The reason is that the A_3 field does not propagate in the normal direction, in the sense that its free action is ultralocal in this gauge:

$$\mathcal{S}_3(A_3) \,=\, \frac{1}{2} \int_{k_\parallel;x_3,y_3} \tilde{A}_3^*(k_\parallel, x_3)\, k_\parallel^2 \, \delta(x_3 - y_3) \tilde{A}_3(k_\parallel, y_3) \;. \tag{39}$$

Regarding the $A_\parallel$ contribution, using properties of the projectors, we see that the effective action becomes:

$$\Gamma_C \,=\, \Gamma_t + \Gamma_l \tag{40}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{t,l} \,=\, - \lim_{L\to\infty} \Big[\frac{1}{L^2} \, \log \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{(t,l)}}{\mathcal{Z}_0^{(t,l)}} \Big] \tag{41}$$

or

$$\Gamma_{t,l} \,=\, \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 k_\parallel}{(2\pi)^3} \, \log \Big[\frac{\det \widetilde{K}_{t,l}(k_\parallel)}{\det \widetilde{K}_0(k_\parallel)} \Big] \tag{42}$$

where $\widetilde{K}_0 = \widetilde{K}_{t,l}|_{V=0}$.

The system has been reduced to two independent Casimir problems, each one of them corresponding to a real scalar field in the presence of a generally nonlocal potential background $\widetilde{V}_{t,l}$. This is the main result of this letter, namely, the fact that if the EM properties of the mirrors proceed from charges with a conserved current, the system can be reduced to two independent scalar-like problems.

Local potential backgrounds have been extensively studied in [4], in a Quantum Field Theory set up, within the context of the Dirichlet Casimir problem for a real scalar field. Here, we shall, as a final step in the calculation, express the result for the Casimir energy for those decoupled systems in terms of a Lifshitz formula [5]. To that end, we shall use the approach developed in [6], noting that the potentials are built in terms of the functions that appear in the decomposition of the transverse part of the vacuum polarization tensor into a set of irreducible tensors. For the case of x_3-local functions:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(L)}_{t,l}(k_0^2,\mathbf{k}_\parallel^2;x_3,y_3) &= f^{(L)}_{t,l}(k_0^2,\mathbf{k}_\parallel^2;x_3)\delta(x_3-y_3) \\ f^{(R)}_{t,l}(k_0^2,\mathbf{k}_\parallel^2;x_3,y_3) &= f^{(R)}_{t,l}(k_0^2,\mathbf{k}_\parallel^2;x_3-a)\delta(x_3-y_3)\;, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where each function is localized around $x_3 = 0$. In this case, we may apply the general formula derived in [6], to write:

$$\Gamma_{t,l} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\int_{k_\parallel} \log\left[1+\frac{T^{(R)}_{12}}{T^{(L)}_{11}}\frac{T^{(R)}_{21}}{T^{(L)}_{11}}e^{-2\,|k_\parallel|\,l}\right]_{t,l}\;, \tag{44}$$

where $T_{t,l}$ is the result of performing the following change of basis to the matrix $A_{t,l}$:

$$T_{t,l} = B^{-1}A_{t,l}B \tag{45}$$

with

$$B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{46}$$

and $A_{t,l}$ are defined as in [6], regarding each one, t or l, as due to an independent field, in its own background potential.

Thus, in the case of two finite width mirrors represented by local potential backgrounds, the Casimir force between them can be calculated applying the Lifshitz formula to two independent scalar-like problems.

5 Conclusions

We have shown that the Casimir interaction energy corresponding to two flat and parallel finite width mirrors coupled to the EM field can be decomposed into two contributions, where each one of them can be evaluated as in an equivalent scalar field problem. We have shown that under the assumption of translation invariance along the parallel coordinates, but without imposing extra conditions on the dependence of the mirrors' properties along the normal coordinates, which may even by nonlocal [7]. For the local case, the problem has been treated by the approach of [6], which was based in turn on [8, 9].

The Ward identity for the vacuum polarization tensor corresponding to each mirror plays an important role in the decoupling of the system into two separated independent problems. This phenomenon manifests itself more clearly in a particular gauge, however, it may be checked in other cases, as well, albeit at the expense of a rather involved calculation, since A_3 becomes entangled to the other components of the gauge field. Nevertheless, we have explicitly checked that to be true in other gauges, like the Feynman gauge, and the axial ($A_3 = 0$) gauge.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by ANPCyT, CONICET, and UNCuyo.

References

[1] H. B. G. Casimir, Indag. Math. **10**, 261 (1948) [Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. **51**, 793 (1948)] [Front. Phys. **65**, 342 (1987)] [Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. **100N3-4**, 61 (1997)].

[2] G. Plunien, B. Müller, and W. Greiner, Phys. Rep. **134**, 87 (1986); P. Milonni, *The Quantum Vacuum* (Academic Press, San Diego, 1994); V. M. Mostepanenko and N. N. Trunov, *The Casimir Effect and its Applications* (Clarendon, London, 1997); M. Bordag, *The Casimir Effect 50 Years Later* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1999); M. Bordag, U. Mohideen, and V. M. Mostepanenko, Phys. Rep. **353**, 1 (2001); K. A. Milton, *The Casimir Effect: Physical Manifestations of the Zero-Point Energy* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001); S. Reynaud *et al.*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **IV-2**, 1287 (2001); K. A. Milton, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **37**, R209 (2004); S.K. Lamoreaux, Rep. Prog. Phys. **68**, 201 (2005); Special Issue *"Focus on Casimir Forces"*, New J. Phys. **8** (2006).

[3] C. D. Fosco, F. C. Lombardo and F. D. Mazzitelli, Phys. Lett. B **669**, 371 (2008) [arXiv:0807.3539 [hep-th]].

[4] N. Graham, R. L. Jaffe, V. Khemani, M. Quandt, O. Schroeder, and H. Weigel, Nucl. Phys. B **677**, 379 (2004).

[5] E. M. Lifshitz, Sov. Phys. JETP **2**, 73 (1956).

[6] C. Ccapa Ttira, C. D. Fosco and F. D. Mazzitelli, J. Phys. A A **44**, 465403 (2011).

[7] C. D. Fosco and E. Losada, Phys. Lett. B **675**, 252 (2009) [arXiv:0902.2198 [hep-th]].

[8] I. M. Gelfand and A. M. Yaglom, J. Math. Phys. **1**, 48 (1960).

[9] G. V. Dunne, J. Phys. A **41**, 304006 (2008).